

**Consultation on Proposed Changes to the Admissions Policy
for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools 2019/20
Response Form**

School/Organisation/ Name	ASTON FIELDS MIDDLE
--------------------------------------	---------------------

1. Feeder School inclusion in the oversubscription criteria for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
A. Feeder School Links as shown in Appendix 2 should remain unchanged for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
Agree
Disagree <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comments-: out of date
B. Feeder School is completely removed from the oversubscription criterion for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
Agree <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Disagree
Comments-: It is unfair that children are disadvantaged throughout their whole schooling if they do not get into the feeder school of a middle/high.
C. Feeder School links should be revised to accurately reflect current school working practices for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
Agree
Disagree <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comments including full details of proposed links, these can be attached separately if additional space is required-:
2. Giving Siblings a higher priority in the oversubscription criterion for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
A. Catchment Area as a higher priority than the sibling link should remain unchanged for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
Agree
Disagree <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comments-: unfair on parents/carers in a school. siblings already

B. Sibling should be given a higher priority than catchment area in the oversubscription criteria for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.	
Agree	✓
Disagree	
Comments:-	Unfair on parents/careers otherwise. Can be disruptive to older siblings.
3. Are there any comments on the Co-ordinated Primary Scheme you wish to make?	
Comments:-	No
4. Are there any comments on the Co-ordinated Secondary Scheme you wish to make?	
Comments:-	No
5. Are there any comments you wish to make on the proposed PAN changes?	
Comments:-	No

Signed..... *S.P. Cox*

Printed..... *S.P. Cox, HEADTEACHER*

Responses are required by 11th December 2017. They should be sent to:
Admission Arrangements Consultation 2019/20, School Admissions, Prime House, Woodbury Lane, Norton, Worcester, WR5 2NP

Or by e-mail to tracey.wilson@babcockinternational.com

Wilson, Tracey

From: Lorraine Lorenz <ll49@beaconside.worcs.sch.uk>
Sent: 16 October 2017 20:29
To: Wilson, Tracey
Subject: Beaconside Response - Admissions Consultation

2. Giving Sibling link higher priority in the oversubscription criterion for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools

It is therefore proposed that consultation take place on the following options:

1. Catchment area as a higher priority than the sibling link should remain unchanged for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools;
2. Sibling is given a higher priority than Catchment area in the Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools oversubscription criterion;

We would like option B to take priority over catchment. We have seen the impact of the current situation and families have been spread over a large geographical area. This has proved problematic for parents in terms of logistics and resulted in either an increase in lateness, or additional costs to parents for after and before school care. The greatest impact has been the number of pupils who have left the school as they have been moved to the school of the younger sibling. This not only impacts upon their education but that of the class due to the change in dynamics when new pupils fill the space as they inevitably have left a previous school due to issues.

Regards

Lorraine Hadley.

**Consultation on Proposed Changes to the Admissions Policy
for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools 2019/20
Response Form**

School/Organisation/ Name	<i>Leigh and Branston Primary</i>
--------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

1. Feeder School Inclusion in the oversubscription criteria for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
A. Feeder School Links as shown in Appendix 2 should remain unchanged for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
Agree <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Disagree <input type="checkbox"/>
Comments-:
B. Feeder School is completely removed from the oversubscription criterion for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
Agree <input type="checkbox"/>
Disagree <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comments-:
C. Feeder School links should be revised to accurately reflect current school working practices for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
Agree <input type="checkbox"/>
Disagree <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comments including full details of proposed links, these can be attached separately if additional space is required-:
2. Giving Siblings a higher priority in the oversubscription criterion for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
A. Catchment Area as a higher priority than the sibling link should remain unchanged for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
Agree <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Disagree <input type="checkbox"/>
Comments-:

B. Sibling should be given a higher priority than catchment area in the oversubscription criteria for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
Agree
Disagree <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comments:-
3. Are there any comments on the Co-ordinated Primary Scheme you wish to make?
Comments:-
4. Are there any comments on the Co-ordinated Secondary Scheme you wish to make?
Comments:-
5. Are there any comments you wish to make on the proposed PAN changes?
Comments:-

Signed..... *SBILL*

Printed..... *STUART BILL*

Responses are required by 11th December 2017. They should be sent to:
 Admission Arrangements Consultation 2019/20, School Admissions, Prime House, Woodbury Lane, Norton, Worcester, WR5 2NP

Or by e-mail to tracey.wilson@babcockinternational.com

Consultation on Proposed Changes to the Admissions Policy for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools 2019/20 Response Form

School/Organisation/ Name	Castlemorton CE Primary
--------------------------------------	--------------------------------

1. Feeder School inclusion in the oversubscription criteria for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
A. Feeder School Links as shown in Appendix 2 should remain unchanged for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
Agree in respect to the Upton Pyramid
Disagree
Comments-: The Upton Pyramid has maintained strong links between the original feeder schools of Castlemorton, Eldersfield Lawn, Pendock Hanley Swan, Upton, Kempsey and Welland which are in catchment area. As a team we have worked hard to ensure this is reflected in the policy for Hanley Castle High School now that it is an academy with its own admissions policy. The Headteachers of the mentioned schools and Hanley Castle High meet regularly together at our Pyramid heads' meetings to discuss developments both national and local that may impact on our schools and to focus on the Pyramid Improvement Plan. The aims of the plan are to raise standards across all schools in the pyramid, ensuring smooth transition for our pupils entering the secondary phase, to contribute to consistency in approaches to teaching and learning and shared understanding of standards. Twynning is a later member of the schools which are considered as feeder schools and is also in Gloucestershire. The children from the original feeder schools are given priority over children from Twynning in the admissions process. As an original feeder school and in catchment Castlemorton wishes this situation to remain the same. We recognise that as an Academy Hanley Castle High School has its own admission policy however it has always been keen to take the lead from the local authority and therefore it is important to us that feeder school links are part of the oversubscription criteria.
B. Feeder School is completely removed from the oversubscription criterion for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
Agree
Disagree
Comments-: Where schools work closely together to inform policy and support learning and transition, as we do in the Upton pyramid this should be recognised in giving children of those feeder schools opportunity to benefit from these close working relationships – especially when transition projects to support smooth transfer are common practice. Parents have often chosen the primary phase school because of its strong connections with a high school (as well as the primary school's ethos and practice). Planning for a smooth educational journey starts in Reception when children are 4/5 years old therefore agreements need to be adhered to.
C. Feeder School links should be revised to accurately reflect current school working practices for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
Agree
Disagree

<p>Comments including full details of proposed links, these can be attached separately if additional space is required-: No revision necessary -Feeder schools should reflect catchment area.</p>
<p>2. Giving Siblings a higher priority in the oversubscription criterion for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.</p>
<p>A. Catchment Area as a higher priority than the sibling link should remain unchanged for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.</p>
<p>Agree</p>
<p>Disagree</p>
<p>Comments-: This is a contentious issue and therefore is easier to remain the same.</p>
<p>B. Sibling should be given a higher priority than catchment area in the oversubscription criteria for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.</p>
<p>Agree</p>
<p>Disagree</p>
<p>Comments-: Believe catchment should be above sibling, even though this provides families with difficult decisions.</p>
<p>3. Are there any comments on the Co-ordinated Primary Scheme you wish to make?</p>
<p>Comments-: No</p>
<p>4. Are there any comments on the Co-ordinated Secondary Scheme you wish to make?</p>
<p>Comments-: No</p>
<p>5. Are there any comments you wish to make on the proposed PAN changes?</p>
<p>Comments-: Consideration should be given to the nearest neighbour schools to see if they have surplus places before changes are made to PANs especially if schools are close together and share catchment areas.</p>

Signed *Janet Adsett*

Printed.....Janet Adsett

Responses are required by 11th December 2017. They should be sent to:
Admission Arrangements Consultation 2019/20, School Admissions, Prime
House, Woodbury Lane, Norton, Worcester, WR5 2NP

Or by e-mail to tracey.wilson@babcockinternational.com

**Consultation on Proposed Changes to the Admissions Policy
for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools 2019/20
Response Form**

School/Organisation/ Name	<i>Finstall First School -</i>
--------------------------------------	--------------------------------

1. Feeder School inclusion in the oversubscription criteria for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.

A. Feeder School Links as shown in Appendix 2 should remain unchanged for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.

Agree

Disagree

Comments-:

Children build bonds + friendship groups within their school life. Moving onto the next school is an emotional time + the reassurance that their friends are moving with them is a great comfort.

B. Feeder School is completely removed from the oversubscription criterion for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.

Agree

Disagree

Comments-:

C. Feeder School links should be revised to accurately reflect current school working practices for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.

Agree

Disagree

Comments including full details of proposed links, these can be attached separately if additional space is required-:

2. Giving Siblings a higher priority in the oversubscription criterion for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.

A. Catchment Area as a higher priority than the sibling link should remain unchanged for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.

Agree

Disagree

Comments-:

B. Sibling should be given a higher priority than catchment area in the oversubscription criteria for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
Agree <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Disagree <input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:- As long as the sibling is still living in catchment. If the family has decided to move out of catchment before the sibling starts school, then that family should not get sibling priority over catchment. The family must
3. Are there any comments on the Co-ordinated Primary Scheme you wish to make?
Comments:-
4. Are there any comments on the Co-ordinated Secondary Scheme you wish to make?
Comments:-
5. Are there any comments you wish to make on the proposed PAN changes?
Comments:-

still be living in catchment, to get sibling priority.

Signed..... *L Fletcher* *A Fletcher*

Printed..... *LINZI FLETCHER* *ANDREW FLETCHER*

Responses are required by 11th December 2017. They should be sent to:
 Admission Arrangements Consultation 2019/20, School Admissions, Prime House, Woodbury Lane, Norton, Worcester, WR5 2NP

Or by e-mail to tracey.wilson@babcockinternational.com

Consultation on Proposed Changes to the Admissions Policy for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools 2019/20 Response Form

School/Organisation/ Name	Pendock CE Primary
--------------------------------------	---------------------------

1. Feeder School inclusion in the oversubscription criteria for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
A. Feeder School Links as shown in Appendix 2 should remain unchanged for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
Agree in respect to the Upton Pyramid
Disagree
Comments-: The Upton Pyramid has maintained strong links between the original feeder schools of Pendock Primary, Eldersfield Lawn, Castlemorton, Hanley Swan, Upton, Kempsey and Welland which are in catchment area. As a team we have worked hard to ensure this is reflected in the policy for Hanley Castle High School now that it is an academy with its own admissions policy. The Headteachers of the mentioned schools and Hanley Castle High meet regularly together at our Pyramid heads' meetings to discuss developments both national and local that may impact on our schools and to focus on the Pyramid Improvement Plan. The aims of the plan are to raise standards across all schools in the pyramid, ensuring smooth transition for our pupils entering the secondary phase, to contribute to consistency in approaches to teaching and learning and shared understanding of standards. Twynning is a later member of the schools which are considered as feeder schools and is also in Gloucestershire. The children from the original feeder schools are given priority over children from Twynning in the admissions process. As an original feeder school and in catchment Pendock wishes this situation to remain the same.
B. Feeder School is completely removed from the oversubscription criterion for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
Agree
Disagree
Comments-: Where schools work closely together to inform policy and support learning and transition as we do in the Upton pyramid this should be recognised in giving children of those feeder schools opportunity to benefit from these close working relationships – especially when transition projects to support smooth transfer are common practice. Parents have often chosen the primary phase school because of its strong connections with a high school (as well as the primary school's ethos and practice) as they plan their child's educational journey.
C. Feeder School links should be revised to accurately reflect current school working practices for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
Agree
Disagree
Comments including full details of proposed links, these can be attached separately if additional space is required-: Feeder schools should reflect catchment area.

2. Giving Siblings a higher priority in the oversubscription criterion for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
A. Catchment Area as a higher priority than the sibling link should remain unchanged for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
Agree
Disagree
Comments-:
B. Sibling should be given a higher priority than catchment area in the oversubscription criteria for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
Agree
Disagree
Comments-:
3. Are there any comments on the Co-ordinated Primary Scheme you wish to make?
Comments-:
4. Are there any comments on the Co-ordinated Secondary Scheme you wish to make?
Comments-:
5. Are there any comments you wish to make on the proposed PAN changes?
Comments-: Consideration should be given to the nearest neighbour schools to see if they have surplus places that could provide a place or places within the 2/3 mile travelling distance at the primary phase.

Signed Sally Lyndon-Chance.

Printed.....

Responses are required by 11th December 2017. They should be sent to:

Admission Arrangements Consultation 2019/20, School Admissions, Prime House, Woodbury Lane, Norton, Worcester, WR5 2NP

Or by e-mail to tracey.wilson@babcockinternational.com

Wilson, Tracey

From: St Stephens First School Head <Head@ststephensfirst.worcs.sch.uk>
Sent: 03 July 2017 14:35
To: tracey.wilson@babcockprime.com
Subject: St Stephens Admission Arrangements for 2019
Attachments: Admissions Arrangements Letter CO VC 2019.pdf; Admission Policy 2018 Co and VC First and Primary Schools.pdf; Admission Policy 2018 Co and VC Middle and High Schools.pdf

Dear Tracey,

I would like the admissions criteria for VC schools to read: siblings in catchment, siblings outside catchment and then catchment. All other criteria to remain the same. This is because we have a number of pupils who have siblings at the school who have not been able to gain a place in our Reception class this year. All of those parents are now having to compromise the older siblings position at our school. We could stand to lose many pupils if parents have to move their older children to schools where all the children can go together. This will certainly have a very negative impact on the budget, not to mention the inconvenience the parents are having to go to getting their children to different schools in the morning. This would not happen if siblings regardless of where they live are given access over catchment.

Regards

Sarah Callanan



“Aim High!”

Mrs Sarah Callanan

Headteacher

St Stephen's C of E First School

From: St Stephens First School Office
Sent: 03 July 2017 13:12:54
To: St Stephens First School Head
Subject: FW: Admission Arrangements for 2019

From: Kiernan, Rachel [mailto:RKiernan@worcestershire.gov.uk]
Sent: 03 July 2017 12:53
Subject: Admission Arrangements for 2019

For the attention of the Head Teacher and Chair of Governors of all Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools:

Please find a letter attached to alert you to the start of the review of admission arrangements for the academic year 2019/20. Any revisions, when approved, will be included in the Information for Parents Book, published in September 2018.

Governing bodies are invited to comment on the current policy attached and indicate if they wish to make a permanent increase to the school PAN.

Regards,

Rachel

Rachel Kiernan
Provision Planning Project Manager
Provision Planning & Accommodation
Children, Families and Communities
Worcestershire County Council
County Hall, Spetchley Road, Worcester, WR5 2NP
Tel: 01905 844506
Email: rkiernan@worcestershire.gov.uk



Confidentiality Notice

This message and any attachments are private and confidential and may be subject to legal privilege and copyright. If you are not the intended recipient please do not publish or copy it to anyone else. Please contact us by using the reply facility in your email software and then remove it from your system.

Disclaimer

Although this email and attachments have been scanned for viruses and malware, Worcestershire County Council accepts no liability for any loss or damage arising from the receipt or use of this communication.

Monitoring of Email

Worcestershire County Council may monitor traffic data and the content of email for lawful business purposes.

Wilson, Tracey

From: lisa greene <le.greene@outlook.com>
Sent: 18 October 2017 18:26
To: Wilson, Tracey
Subject: Consultation on Admission Arrangments 2019

Hi Tracey,

I believe the feeder school should be taken out of the criteria as we live 0.3 miles from our catchment school but never got in because we wasn't in a feeder school! The alternative school is much further away, it has caused much distress to our family and I feel unnecessary stress every day trying to get my daughter to school.

Kind Regards

Lisa Greene

**Consultation on Proposed Changes to the Admissions Policy
for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools 2019/20
Response Form**

School/Organisation/ Name	Parent of child at Finstall First School
--------------------------------------	---

1. Feeder School inclusion in the oversubscription criteria for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
A. Feeder School Links as shown in Appendix 2 should remain unchanged for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
Agree
Disagree
Comments-: neither agree nor disagree
B. Feeder School is completely removed from the oversubscription criterion for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
Agree ✓
Disagree
Comments-: Should be catchment area only otherwise, children who move to the area will continually be isolated from local children and those children
C. Feeder School links should be revised to accurately reflect current school working practices for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
Agree
Disagree ✓
Comments including full details of proposed links, these can be attached separately if additional space is required-:
2. Giving Siblings a higher priority in the oversubscription criterion for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
A. Catchment Area as a higher priority than the sibling link should remain unchanged for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
Agree
Disagree ✓
Comments-: Sibling link should be higher priority.

PTO →

Who miss out on a place at first school
will have no chance at attending their closest
middle school. Policy should be catchment
area only followed by nearest to school.

B. Sibling should be given a higher priority than catchment area in the oversubscription criteria for Community and Voluntary Controlled Schools.
Agree <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Disagree <input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:- Common Sense should prevail.
3. Are there any comments on the Co-ordinated Primary Scheme you wish to make?
Comments:- none
4. Are there any comments on the Co-ordinated Secondary Scheme you wish to make?
Comments:- none
5. Are there any comments you wish to make on the proposed PAN changes?
Comments:- none.

Signed..... l.j. Peak

Printed..... Louise Peak

Responses are required by 11th December 2017. They should be sent to:
Admission Arrangements Consultation 2019/20, School Admissions, Prime House, Woodbury Lane, Norton, Worcester, WR5 2NP

Or by e-mail to tracey.wilson@babcockinternational.com

